



TITLE:

# Spherical 5-Designs Obtained from the Unitary Group $U_{2m}(2)$ (Codes, lattices, vertex operator algebras and finite groups)

AUTHOR(S):

Munemasa, Akihiro

---

CITATION:

Munemasa, Akihiro. Spherical 5-Designs Obtained from the Unitary Group  $U_{2m}(2)$  (Codes, lattices, vertex operator algebras and finite groups). 数理解析研究所講究録 2001, 1228: 121-126

ISSUE DATE:

2001-09

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/41433>

RIGHT:

# Spherical 5-Designs Obtained from the Unitary Group $U_{2m}(2)$

Akihiro Munemasa (宗政 昭弘)

## 1 Introduction

The purpose of this talk is to give an infinite series of spherical 5-designs constructed from the unitary group over the finite field of four elements. Let  $G = U_{2m}(2)$  be the unitary group of dimension  $2m$  over  $GF(4)$ ,  $V = GF(4)^{2m}$  the natural module of  $G$ . Then  $G$  acts transitively on the set  $\Omega$  of (maximal) totally isotropic  $m$ -spaces of  $V$ . This permutation representation (over  $\mathbb{R}$ ) contains an irreducible representation of dimension  $d = (4^m + 2)/3$ . Then one can embed the set  $\Omega$  into the unit sphere  $S^{d-1}$  in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Theorem 1.**  $\Omega \hookrightarrow S^{d-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a spherical 5-design.

The inner product among the vectors of  $\Omega$  embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  can be made rational-valued, so one obtains integral lattices after a suitable normalization. Shimada [5] considered a related family of lattices, and presented in a talk in January, 2000 at RIMS.

## 2 Preliminaries

A spherical  $t$ -design ( $t \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ) is a finite set  $\Omega \subset S^{d-1}$  such that

$$\frac{\int_{S^{d-1}} f(x) dx}{\int_{S^{d-1}} 1 dx} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{x \in \Omega} f(x)$$

for all polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_d]$  of degree at most  $t$ . Equivalently,

$$\sum_{x, y \in \Omega} Q_i(\langle x, y \rangle) = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq t) \quad (1)$$

$$Q_0(X) = 1, \quad Q_1(X) = dX, \\ \frac{k+1}{d+2k} Q_{k+1}(X) = XQ_k(X) - \frac{d+k-3}{d+2k-4} Q_{k-1}(X)$$

are suitably normalized Gegenbauer polynomials. See [1, 4] for more details on spherical designs. In what follows we simply say a  $t$ -design for a spherical  $t$ -design.

Examples of spherical designs include the 196, 560 vectors of norm 4 in the Leech lattice (a 11-design), the 240 roots of the root system  $E_8$  (a 7-design). Moreover, if  $O(d, \mathbb{R}) \supset G$  is a finite irreducible subgroup, then every  $G$ -orbit on  $S^{d-1}$  is a 2-design. Sidel'nikov [6] showed that there exists a finite group  $G \subset O(2^n, \mathbb{R})$  such that every  $G$ -orbit on  $S^{2^n-1}$  is a 7-design. In general, the Molien series of  $G$  on the space of harmonic polynomials determines  $t$  for which every  $G$ -orbit on the unit sphere becomes a  $t$ -design. [1, p.102].

To see that  $\Omega \hookrightarrow S^{d-1}$  ( $d = (4^m + 2)/3$ ) is a 5-design, we shall verify the condition (1) with  $t = 5$ . We note that the values of inner products  $\langle x, y \rangle$  are known to be  $(-2)^{-j}$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq m$  (see Table 6.1 (C3) of [3]), and  $\langle x, y \rangle = (-2)^j$  if and only if the dimension of the intersection of  $x$  and  $y$  is  $m - j$  (recall that  $x, y$  are  $m$ -dimensional subspaces of  $V$ ). The number of pairs  $(x, y) \in \Omega^2$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle = (-2)^{-j}$  is given by  $|\Omega|k_j$ , where

$$k_j = \prod_{h=1}^j \frac{2^{2h-1}(4^{m-h+1} - 1)}{4^h - 1}.$$

With these formulas at our disposal, we can verify (1) for any given values of  $m$ . However, we shall employ a more general framework to prove Theorem 1.

A comment on the peculiarity of this embedding can be found in [3, Remark, p.276].

### 3 The Q-polynomial property for the dual polar space associated to $U_{2m}(2)$

As in the previous section, we let  $m$  be a fixed positive integer, and denote by  $\Omega$  the set of totally isotropic  $m$ -spaces in the natural module  $V = GF(4)^{2m}$  of

$U_{2m}(2)$ . The set  $\Omega$  is called the dual polar space associated to  $U_{2m}(2)$ , because it is a combinatorial dual of the polar space of absolute points and totally isotropic lines of the projective space  $PG(V)$  with a unitary polarity. Then  $U_{2m}(2)$  acts on  $\Omega$ , and the permutation representation (over  $\mathbb{R}$ ) decomposes as follows:

$$\mathbb{R}\Omega = V_0 \perp V_1 \perp \cdots \perp V_m, \quad (2)$$

where  $V_0$  is the trivial module. Let  $E_i \in M_{|\Omega|}(\mathbb{R})$  be the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbb{R}\Omega$  onto  $V_i$ . If we rearrange the ordering of  $V_i$ 's if necessary, then there exists a polynomial  $v_i^*(X)$  of degree  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq m$ ) such that

$$|\Omega|E_i = v_i^*(|\Omega|E_1) \quad (0 \leq i \leq m),$$

where, if

$$v_i^*(X) = \sum_{j=0}^i c_{ij} X^j,$$

then

$$v_i^*(|\Omega|E_1) = \sum_{j=0}^i c_{ij} |\Omega|^j \underbrace{E_1 \circ \cdots \circ E_1}_j,$$

where  $\circ$  denotes the entry-wise product. Roughly speaking, the existence of such polynomials is referred to as the Q-polynomial property (see [2] for details). It is known that there exist  $a_i^*, b_i^*, c_i^* \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$Xv_i^*(X) = c_{i+1}^* v_{i+1}^*(X) + a_i^* v_i^*(X) + b_{i-1}^* v_{i-1}^*(X) \quad (3)$$

and  $\{v_i^*(X)\}$  is a system of orthogonal polynomials.

More generally, one can define a combinatorial structure called an association scheme on which the vector space of real-valued functions on the underlying set  $\Omega$  can be decomposed into a direct sum like (2), and one can define Q-polynomial property for association schemes. For precise definition, we refer to [2]. The following theorem reveals a relationship between the Q-polynomial property and spherical designs. Here we denote by  $E_1(\Omega)$  the set of unit vectors obtained by normalizing the column vectors of the matrix

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a Q-polynomial association scheme.

- (i) If  $a_1^* = 0$ , then  $E_1(\Omega)$  is a 3-design.
- (ii) If moreover,  $b_0^*b_1^*c_2^* + 2(b_1^*c_2^* - b_0^{*2} + b_0^*) = 0$ , then  $E_1(\Omega)$  is a 4-design.
- (iii) If moreover,  $a_2^* = 0$ , then  $E_1(\Omega)$  is a 5-design.

If  $\Omega$  is the dual polar space for  $U_{2m}(2)$ , then all hypotheses of the theorem are satisfied, and  $\Omega$  becomes a 5-design. To check this, we reproduce a more general formula for these numbers for the dual polar spaces associated with  $U_{2m}(r)$ , where  $r$  is a prime power. They can be deduced from the formulas in [2, Section 3.5].

$$\begin{aligned} b_i^* &= \frac{(r^{2m} + r)(r^{2m+2} + (-1)^i r^{i+1})}{(r+1)(r^{2m+2} + r^{2i+1})}, \\ c_i^* &= \frac{r^{i-1}(r^i + (-1)^{i-1})(r^{2m} + r)}{(r+1)(r^{2m} + r^{2i-1})}, \\ a_i^* &= b_0^* - b_i^* - c_i^*. \end{aligned}$$

From these formulas, one checks easily that the conditions (i)–(iii) of Theorem 2 are satisfied precisely when  $r = 2$ .

One can find a more general formula describing these numbers for known P- and Q-polynomial association schemes [2, Section 3.5]. Thus, it is natural to consider the following problem.

**Problem.** Classify P- and Q-polynomial association scheme  $\Omega$  such that  $E_1(\Omega)$  is a spherical  $t$ -design for  $t = 4, 5, 6, \dots$

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2

We use the orthogonality relation of the polyomials  $\{v_i^*(X)\}_{i=0}^m$  given by

$$\sum_{h=0}^m k_h v_i^*(\theta_h^*) v_j^*(\theta_h^*) = 0 \quad (i \neq j), \quad (4)$$

where  $\theta_0^* = \dim V_0 = \text{rank } E_1 = b_0^*$ , and  $E_1(\Omega)$  has  $|\Omega|k_h$  pairs of elements with inner product  $\theta_h^*/\theta_0^*$ . We shall write  $d$  instead of  $\theta_0^*$  to simplify the notation. In view of (1), in order to prove  $E_1(\Omega)$  is a  $t$ -design, it suffices to show

$$\sum_{h=0}^m k_h Q_i(\theta_h^*/d) = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq t). \quad (5)$$

**Lemma 3.** If the polynomials  $Q_s(X/d)$  ( $1 \leq s \leq t$ ) are linear combinations of the polynomials  $v_1^*(X), \dots, v_t^*(X)$ , then  $E_1(\Omega)$  is a  $t$ -design.

*Proof.* Since  $v_0^*(X) = 1$ , the orthogonality relation (4) implies

$$\sum_{h=0}^m k_h v_i^*(\theta_h^*) = 0 \quad (i > 0).$$

Then the condition (5) is seen to be satisfied.  $\square$

It follows from the definitions that  $Q_1(X/dX) = X = v_1^*(X)$ , so  $E_1(\Omega)$  is always a 1-design. Also, one has

$$Q_2\left(\frac{X}{d}\right) = \frac{d+2}{2d}(c_2^* v_2^*(X) + a_1^* v_1^*(X)),$$

and hence  $E_1(\Omega)$  is always a 2-design.

To prove part (i) of Theorem 1, we assume  $a_1^* = 0$ , so that

$$XQ_2\left(\frac{X}{d}\right) = \frac{d+2}{2d}c_2^* X v_2^*(X). \quad (6)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q_3\left(\frac{X}{d}\right) &= \frac{d+4}{3} \left( \frac{X}{d} Q_2\left(\frac{X}{d}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{d}\right) Q_1\left(\frac{X}{d}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{d+4}{3d} \left( XQ_2\left(\frac{X}{d}\right) - (d-1)Q_1\left(\frac{X}{d}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{d+4}{3d} \left( \frac{d+2}{2d}c_2^* X v_2^*(X) - (d-1)v_1^*(X) \right) \\ &= \frac{(d+4)(d+2)c_2^*}{6d^2} (c_3^* v_3^*(X) + a_2^* v_2^*(X)) \\ &\quad + \frac{(d+4)((d+2)c_2^* b_1^* - 2d(d-1))}{6d^2} v_1^*(X). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $Q_3(X/d)$  is a linear combination of  $v_1^*(X), v_2^*(X), v_3^*(X)$ .

Under the assumption of (ii), we have

$$Q_3\left(\frac{X}{d}\right) = \frac{(d+4)(d+2)c_2^*}{6d^2} (c_3^* v_3^*(X) + a_2^* v_2^*(X)). \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_4\left(\frac{X}{d}\right) &= \frac{d+6}{4} \left( \frac{X}{d} Q_3\left(\frac{X}{d}\right) - \frac{d}{d+2} Q_2\left(\frac{X}{d}\right) \right) \\
&= \frac{(d+6)(d+4)(d+2)c_2^*}{24d^3} (c_3^* X v_3^*(X) + a_2^* X v_2^*(X)) - \frac{d+6}{8} c_2^* v_2^*(X).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3) that  $Q_4(X/d)$  is a linear combination of  $v_1^*(X)$ ,  $v_2^*(X)$ ,  $v_3^*(X)$ ,  $v_4^*(X)$ .

Under the assumption of (iii), we have

$$Q_4\left(\frac{X}{d}\right) = \frac{(d+6)(d+4)(d+2)c_2^*}{24d^3} c_3^* X v_3^*(X) - \frac{d+6}{8} c_2^* v_2^*(X), \quad (8)$$

which is a linear combination of  $v_2^*(X)$ ,  $v_3^*(X)$ ,  $v_4^*(X)$  by (3). Thus  $XQ_4(X/d)$  is a linear combination of  $v_1^*(X)$ ,  $v_2^*(X)$ ,  $v_3^*(X)$ ,  $v_4^*(X)$ ,  $v_5^*(X)$  by (3). Since

$$Q_5\left(\frac{X}{d}\right) = \frac{d+8}{5} \left( \frac{X}{d} Q_4\left(\frac{X}{d}\right) - \frac{d+1}{d+4} Q_3\left(\frac{X}{d}\right) \right)$$

and  $Q_3(X/d)$  is a scalar multiple of  $v_3^*(X)$  by (7), we see that  $Q_5(X/d)$  is a linear combination of  $v_1^*(X)$ ,  $v_2^*(X)$ ,  $v_3^*(X)$ ,  $v_4^*(X)$ ,  $v_5^*(X)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

## References

- [1] 坂内英一, 坂内悦子, 「球面上の代数的組合せ論」 シュプリンガー・フェアラーク東京, 1999.
- [2] E. Bannai and T. Ito, "Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association schemes," Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park, Calif., 1984.
- [3] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, "Distance-Regular Graphs," Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1989.
- [4] P. Delsarte, J.-M. Goethals and J. J. Seidel, Spherical codes and designs, *Geom. Dedicata* 6 (1977), 363–388.
- [5] I. Shimada, Lattices of algebraic cycles on Fermat varieties in positive characteristics, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 82 (2001), 131–172.
- [6] V. M. Sidelnikov, Spherical 7-designs in  $2^n$ -dimensional Euclidean space, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 10 (1999), 279–288.